CALL FOR EXPRESSION OF INTEREST for the selection of existing Hosting Entities of EuroHPC supercomputers to acquire an advanced Experimental Aloptimised Supercomputing Platform (optional) and to establish an AI Factory

(Ref. EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-01)

CALL FOR EXPRESSION OF INTEREST for the selection of Hosting Entities for acquiring or upgrading EuroHPC supercomputers with AI capabilities, an Advanced Experimental AI-Optimised Supercomputing Platform (optional) and AI Factory.

(Ref. EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02)

Summary of questions

#	Submission date	Publication date	Question	Answer
1	23/09/2024	03/10/2024	Is a company which is not registered as EuroHPC data center but fulfills the technical conditions expressed in the Call and is part of ETP4HPC eligible?	 Please note that the eligibility criteria differ depending on the role of such company in the consortium. Please see below possible scenarios and their effect on the eligibility of such company. (1) Company in question applies as a coordinating applicant (Hosting Entity) For the call EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02 (Upgrade to AI-ready EuroHPC supercomputer and AI Factory track), the applicant hosting entity shall be a Hosting Entity to a EuroHPC supercomputer (Cf. Section 6(a) of the Call). Thus, the company in question is not eligible. For the call EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02 (AI-Supercomputer and AI Factories), such company is eligible if it represents one Participating State that is a Member

				 State of the EU or a hosting consortium of Participating States in terms provided for in Section 6(a) of the Call and if it cumulatively fulfils other eligibility conditions specified in Section 6 thereof. (2) Company in question applies as a member of consortium other than a coordinating hosting entity Under both tracks, the applicants may form consortia consisting of entities from the Participating States to the EuroHPC Joint Undertaking that have agreed to contribute to setting up Al Factories and/or acquisition a new Al supercomputer or upgrade of an EuroHPC supercomputer (please consult the full list of Participating States here Governance - EuroHPC JU (europa.eu)). The members of consortia shall also fulfil the criteria described in Sections 6(c)-(d) of the respective calls.
2	24/09/2024	03/10/2024	For the commitment letter of the Member States, is it correct that the template provided as Annex 1c has to be used and not free-format letter is necessary?	We confirm the correctness of the interpretation. Differently to previous calls where no template was provided, in the Call for Expression of Interest - Ref. EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02, we kindly request the use of the proposed form under Annex 1c with no need to prepare a different format. A free form cover

				letter can also be included but only the fully completed form will be considered.
3	25/09/2024	03/10/2024	In addition to the documents listed in Annex 1 of the call and the Checklist for Applicants, is there a partnership agreement that the consortium should sign before submitting the proposal?	Pursuant to Article 9, paragraph 2 of the Council Regulation (EU) 2021/1173, and Section 6 "Eligibility Criteria", let a) of the Call for Expression of Interest (Ref. EUROHPC-2024-CEI- AI-02) "() The applicant hosting entity and the competent authorities of the Participating State or Participating States in a hosting consortium shall enter into an agreement to this effect". Please be informed that evidence of this partnership agreement is not required and therefore it is not necessary to be provided when submitting the proposal.
4	25/09/2024	03/10/2024	Do the National Agencies co-financing the action also have to sign the declaration of honour? (p10 of the call: "Each applicant and each Participating State in a hosting consortium must complete and submit the duly signed Declaration of Honour (attached as Annex 1a)")?	Please note that the applicants shall be strictly understood as legal entities registered in one of the Participating State that is a Member State of the EU (for entities applying as coordinating applicant – Hosting Entity), or in one of the Participating States (members of consortia). The National Agencies co-financing the action are not considered as applicants, thus <u>they are</u> <u>not requested to provide a Declaration on</u> Honour.
5	25/09/2024	03/10/2024	Is there a template available for the Member State commitment (2nd document in the Applicant Checklist)?	Please be aware that a Model Commitment Letter has been provided as Annex 1c of the Call documents. In the checklist provided at the end of the application form (Annex 1), by mistake the template is mentioned as Annex 3. We

				apologise for the clerical error which will be
				corrected. Also see answer to question 2
6	25/09/2024	03/10/2024	Is the legal entity form to be submitted for the	In accordance with Section 6(c) of the Call, the
			coordinator only or for all partners (In the checklist it	applicants must have a legal personality on the
			appears only for the coordinator, in the application form	date of the deadline for submission of
			it also appears for applicant No 2)?	applications and must be able to demonstrate
				their existence as a legal person. In case of
				consortia, the criterion applies to all entities
				involved. Thus, all members of consortia,
				including the coordinating applicants, shall
				provide their individual Legal Entity Forms, as
				well as supporting documents proving their
				existence as legal persons.
7	25/09/2024	03/10/2024	Who should sign the Letter of Commitment (Template	The commitment letter of the Member State
			1.c)? It appears in the Checklist for Applicants but is not	where the hosting entity is established and, in
			mentioned in the Call text or in the application form.	the case of a hosting consortium, of the
				competent authorities of the Participating
				States of the hosting consortium shall be signed
				by a Ministry designated by its Government.
				Also see answer to question 2 and question 5
8	26/09/2024	03/10/2024	Can a Hosting Entity apply for the Call EUROHPC-2024-	Please note that the application for Advanced
			CEI-AI-02 at the first round (4 Nov 2024, 17:00) proposing	Experimental AI-Optimised Supercomputing
			"the acquisition or upgrade of a EuroHPC supercomputer	Platform shall be understood as an additional
			with AI capabilities" and to establish an AI Factory"	option to the application for the acquisition or
			without proposing the "(optional) Advanced	upgrade of a EuroHPC supercomputer with AI
			Experimental AI-Optimised Supercomputing Platform"	capabilities and AI Factory. Each submitted
			and then apply at the EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-01 in one of	proposal shall be regarded and evaluated
			the following rounds (1 Feb 2025, 17:00 / 2 May 2025,	separately, thus, the applicants cannot submit a
			17:00 / 1 Aug 2025, 17:00 / 3 Nov 2025, 17:00 / 31 Dec	proposal only for the Advanced Experimental
			2025, 17:00 (CET) proposing only the Advanced	Al-Optimised Supercomputing Platform,
			Experimental AI-Optimised Supercomputing Platform?	irrespective of their previous submissions.

9	27/09/2024	03/10/2024	Are partners from CH and UK eligible to participate to the Horizon Europe funded components (AI Factory and the AI Experimental Platform) of the proposal? Our considerations relate to the fact that both are EuroHPC JU members, and while the UK is already an HE associated country, CH aims to fully activate the transitional agreement in 2025 allowing participation as beneficiaries in HE and Euratom calls for proposals in the 2025 programme year.	Call EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-01 is open to applicant hosting entities which already host an AI-ready EuroHPC supercomputer suitable for setting up an 'AI factory' in a Participating State to the EuroHPC JU that is a Member State of the EU. The applicant hosting entity shall represent one Participating State that is a Member State or a hosting consortium of Participating States that have agreed to contribute to setting up an AI factory.
				Call EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02 is open to applicant hosting entities that have the facilities to host and operate a new or an upgraded AI EuroHPC supercomputer and to set up an 'AI factory' in a Participating State to the EuroHPC JU that is a Member State of the EU. The applicant hosting entity shall represent one Participating State that is a Member State or a hosting consortium of Participating States that have agreed to contribute to the acquisition or the upgrade and to the operation of the supercomputer, and to set up an 'AI Factory'.
				The actions covering the funding of the "Advanced Experimental AI-optimised Supercomputing Platform" and the action covering the funding of the "AI Factory" will be implemented by way of grants which will be awarded on the basis of Article 195 (f) of the Financial Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046. Eligible for these actions are only Hosting

				Entities or hosting consortia identified in both Calls. According to Article 2(21) of the Regulation 2021/1173 establishing the EuroHPC Joint Undertaking, Participating State means a country that is a member of the EuroHPC Joint Undertaking Members of the Joint Undertaking can become Member States of the EU and third countries that are associated to Horizon Europe or the Digital Europe Programme. Regarding the two mentioned countries, Switzerland is in transition and not yet associated to either Horizon Europe or Digital Europe Programme and thus, not eligible. The UK is associated to Horizon Europe and therefore would be eligible to become Participating State in a hosting consortium and by this, being eligible to participate in the actions funded by Horizon Europe.
10	27/09/2024	03/10/2024	Are the letters of commitment acceptable in formats other than the template Annex 1C - Model Commitment Letter? Considering the short proposal preparation period, requiring consortium country ministries to provide their commitment in the annex model format is challenging in terms of time.	No, we strongly encourage the applicants to use a template commitment letter provided with the call documents. See answer to questions 2, 5 and 7 on this point.
11	27/09/2024	03/10/2024	Can one country / organisation participate to more than one AI Factory if the systems underpinning the factories are different?	Please note that participation in more than one AI Factory is possible but should be duly justified in the respective applications to avoid overlapping of activities and double funding.

12	27/09/2024	03/10/2024	There can be only one AI system in a member country?	Please note that the Call in section 3 of the Call text states that there will be a unitary EU contribution <u>per a new or per an upgraded</u> AI EuroHPC supercomputer.
13	27/09/2024	03/10/2024	Who owns the AI system, bought with two different financial sources?	Article 12a of Regulation (EU) 2021/1173 on establishing the European High Performance Computing Joint Undertaking, as amended by Regulation (EU) 2024/1732 sets out that EuroHPC Joint Undertaking shall acquire AI optimised supercomputers and shall own them. The Unions financial contribution to these systems shall cover up to 50 % of the acquisition costs and up to 50 % of the operating costs.
14	30/09/2024	03/10/2024	Is the delivery address different if the courier services are used by the applicants? (i.e., DHL)	For deliveries by courier services, including DHL, please use the address with the following postal code: European High Performance Computing Joint Undertaking Drosbach Building (DRB) - Wing E – 1st floor 12E rue Guillaume Kroll <u>L-1882 Luxembourg</u> All other deliveries shall be addressed to the address indicated in Section 11 of the Call.
15	3/10/2024	3/10/2024	Does the commitment letters need to include the amount of the financial contributions.	The financial contribution should be set out in the commitment letters. A corrected version of the respective template Annex 1C - Model Commitment Letter has been published.
16	3/10/2024	4/10/2024	Should all documents have a handwritten signature, or electronic signatures with a digital certificate will also be accepted (in which case the originally signed PDFs would be submitted on the USB stick)?	We accept the documents signed electronically (by electronic certified digital signature). Please note that a qualified electronic signature is an electronic signature that is compliant with EU

				Regulation No 910/2014 (eIDAS Regulation) for electronic transactions within the internal
				European market. For details, check:
				https://ec.europa.eu/digital-building-
				blocks/wikis/display/CEFDIGITAL/eSignature+-
				+Get+started#eSignatureGetstarted-Citizens
17	3/10/2024	4/10/2024	With regard to the call REF: EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02	Please note that as indicated in Section 13 -
			"AI-optimized Supercomputer", Annex 2, please clarify	Annex 2, certain categories of the costs (marked
			the sentence before the table "In-kind contributions are	in colour) can be covered only by the Hosting
			marked with coloured fields". Should we consider all	Entity, and thus, are not eligible for JU funding.
			the coloured rows as not eligible for JU funding or are	The applicants are asked to provide an
			they coloured as examples?	auditable methodology to calculate and verify
				the operating costs as described in Section
				12.3.1 of the Call.
17a		7/10/2024	Corrigendum	The reply below corrects the answer provided
			With regard to the call REF: EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02	in Question 17
			"Al-optimized Supercomputer", Annex 2, please clarify	The categories of costs indicated in Section 13 -
			the sentence before the table "In-kind contributions are	Annex 2 marked in colour can be considered as
			marked with coloured fields". Should we consider all	in-kind contribution from the side of the
			the coloured rows as not eligible for JU funding or are	Hosting Entity/Hosting consortium. Irrespective
			they coloured as examples?	of this, such cost could be also subject to co-
				financing from the JU if calculated in
				accordance with description provided in Section
				12.3.1 of the Call.
18	3/10/2024	4/10/2024	Could you indicate when we can expect the hosting	We will publish the model Hosting Agreement
10	2/42/2224		agreement (HA) model to be published?	as soon as it is available.
19	3/10/2024	4/10/2024	In Article 17, the Digital Europe (DEP) GA notes that a	Please note that DEP Grant Agreement will be
			detailed communication and dissemination plan must	signed by the applicants to cover the operating
			be provided "The beneficiary must provide a detailed	costs related to the acquisition of a new AI
			communication and dissemination plan, setting out the	supercomputer. The AI Factory and the
			objectives, key messaging, target audiences,	experimental platform (optional) will be
			communication channels, social media plan, planned budget and relevant indicators for monitoring and	covered by Horizon Europe Grant Agreement.
			budget and relevant indicators for monitoring and	

			evaluation". Should the EoI include communication and dissemination activities focused exclusively on the supercomputer, to be budgeted under the TCO? If so, are the costs eligible, as currently these are not listed in Annex 2 of the Call detailing the cost elements to consider in the calculation of the operating costs.	Regarding the description of the TCO, including the methodology to calculate the operating costs, please refer to Section 12.3.1 of the Call and indicative list described in Section 13 - Annex 2 thereof and Annex 2a of the DEP Model Grant Agreement (Annex 4c of the Call).
20	3/10/2024	4/10/2024	Although it references a consortium, the Horizon Europe (HE) GA is marked as mono beneficiary, is there another version that we should consider in case of a consortium behind the AI Factory?	Please note that it is expected that in case of consortia, grants will be signed by the coordinating Hosting Entity on behalf of consortium. Please also note that the grants for AI Factories will be awarded on the basis of Article 198(f) of Regulation (EU) 2024/2509 of 23 September 2024 on the financial rules applicable to the general budget of the Union (recast) (Article 195(f) of the previous EU Financial Regulation 2018/1046). After the award of a grant under afore-referred Article, it is not possible to change the Hosting Entity or the composition of a Hosting Consortium anymore, further entities will not be able to join the Hosting Entity or
				Hosting Consortium throughout the implementation of the grant.
21	3/10/2024	4/10/2024	What is the envisioned procedure for the experimental platform? Should it be similar to the acquisition of a new systems? Will it include the signature of a HA and a procurement process?	In accordance with call conditions, following the successful application for the experimental platform, the applicants will be awarded a grant (please refer Annex 4d General Model Grant Agreement for Horizon Europe). There will be no separate Hosting Agreement for the experimental platform, nor is there a procurement foreseen.

				Please also note that the grants for the
				experimental platform will be awarded on the
				basis of Article 198(f) of Regulation (EU)
				2024/2509 of 23 September 2024 on the
				financial rules applicable to the general budget
				of the Union (recast) (Article 195(f) of the
				previous EU Financial Regulation 2018/1046).
				After the award of a grant under afore-referred
				Article, it is not possible to change the Hosting
				Entity or the composition of a Hosting
				Consortium anymore, further entities will not
				be able to join the Hosting Entity or Hosting
				Consortium throughout the implementation of
22	4/40/2024	7/40/2024		the grant.
22	4/10/2024	7/10/2024	The maximum EU contribution in the call is generally set	As set out in Section 3 of the Call text, the Union
			at 50% of the eligible project costs. If there is a partner	financial contribution to the EuroHPC JU shall
			who is not able to co-finance the costs from their own	cover up to 50 % of the acquisition costs, plus
			or other resources, is it possible in this case to set the maximum EU contribution in the proposal at 100% of	up to 50 % of the operating costs of the new AI EuroHPC supercomputer or AI upgrade, up to
			the eligible costs, while the coordinator would get a	50 % of the development and operation costs of
			significantly lower maximum EU contribution so that in	an Advanced Experimental Al-optimised
			total the maximum EU contribution will not exceed 50%	Supercomputing Platform, and up to 50 % of the
			of the eligible costs of the project?	costs associated with the setting up and
			If this would be possible in the proposal, we assume	operation of the "AI Factories". The remaining
			that the different maximum EU contribution limits	total cost of ownership of the AI-optimised or AI
			would also be stated in the Grant Agreement. Would it	upgraded supercomputer and those cost
			then also be technically possible to set different limits	related to the Advanced Experimental AI-
			for the maximum EU contribution for each beneficiary	optimised Supercomputing Platform and to the
			in the F&T portal where eligible costs are reported?	"Al Factories" shall be covered by the
				Participating State that is a Member State
				where the hosting entity is established or by the
				Participating States in the hosting consortium.

				As explained in Section 6 of the Call text, the applicant hosting entity shall represent one Participating State that is a Member State or a hosting consortium of Participating States that have agreed to contribute to the acquisition of the new AI EuroHPC supercomputer or the AI upgrade, the operation of the supercomputer, and to set up an 'AI Factory'. To implement the actions, the applicant hosting entity and the competent authorities of the Participating State or Participating States in a hosting consortium shall enter into an agreement and make appropriate internal arrangements. The EuroHPC JU will not enter into these arrangements.
23	10/10/2024	11/10/2024	The mandate letter (Annex 1b) contains a reference to "title and no". If this refers to the Hosting Agreement, which is not yet available, could you please announce the title and no of the aforementioned? If "title and no" refers to something else, could you please explain what is needed here?	Please note that this box does not specifically refer to the Title and No of the future Hosting Agreement. For the mandate letters, the EuroHPC JU uses a standard template in its calls for expression of interest. Thus, you can fill the box with the reference to the specific call for which you are submitting an application (call ID).
24	07/10/2024	11/10/2024	Are the costs of leasing an interim system for the period until the space and infrastructure for a larger installation of the AI Factory supercomputer is completed eligible for funding?	As set out in Section 3 of the Call, for newly acquired AI EuroHPC supercomputers, grants will be established to cover the operating costs of the supercomputer and for existing to be upgraded EuroHPC supercomputers, existing grants will be amended to cover the additional operating costs of the upgraded AI EuroHPC supercomputers. A list of cost categories including an indicative lists of cost elements to be considered is attached as Annex 2. Leasing

				costs for an interim system are not covered by
				the operating grant.
25	14/10/2024	15/10/2024	Under Section 2.1.3 (Operating Costs), one is expected	Please be aware that it has to be distinguished
			to provide the number and estimated average costs for	if the costs occur within the context of the
			staff. We assume that costs for system administrators	infrastructure or the AI factory.
			are included as infrastructure costs. Should the costs for	For the infrastructure part, please refer to
			user support and technical support staff be included	Annex 4c of the Call (Unit Digital Europe
			under infrastructure costs, too, or are they considered	Programme (DEP) Grant Agreement). Annex 2a
			part of the AI Factory services?	of the DEP Model Grant Agreement provides
				the methodology to calculate the unit costs. It
				also provides the cost categories and an
				indicative list of actions for each cost category.
				Regarding the system administrator, the user
				support and the technical support, these costs
				fall under the cost category "Personnel Costs".
				Regarding the costs that occur in the context of
				the AI factory, the rules of the Horizon Europe
				General Model Grant Agreement apply
				(provided as Annex 4d of the Call).
26	19/10/2024	22/10/2024	Could you please confirm the maximum EU financial	As explained in Section 3 of the Call EUROHPC-
			contribution for the acquisition of one new AI EuroHPC	2024-CEI-AI-02, a unitary EU contribution per a
			supercomputer?	new or per an upgraded AI EuroHPC
				supercomputer of around EUR 200 million is
				considered appropriate. Please note that
				nonetheless, this does not preclude the
				submission and selection of a proposal
				requesting different amounts. The unitary EU
				contribution for this new or upgraded AI
				EuroHPC supercomputer will then be adapted
				(increased or decreased) to the requested EU
				contribution amount of the application, by also
				considering the number of already submitted
				considering the number of uncady submitted

				and approved applications, and the remaining Union's funds.
27	19/10/2024	22/10/2024	How many EuroHPC supercomputers are expected to be	The contracting authority cannot make any
			funded under this call?	speculations in this regard and will the number
				of applications on the respective cut-off dates.
28	19/10/2024	22/10/2024	Is there a differentiated budget slot allocated for new	As set out in Section 3 of the Call EUROHPC-
			hosting entities versus existing ones?	2024-CEI-AI-02, the Union's financial
				contribution both for the acquisition of new or
				upgraded AI EuroHPC supercomputers is
				estimated at EUR 400 million depending on
				budget availability in the EuroHPC JU
				Programme 2024. The overall Union's financial
				contribution to the EuroHPC JU for the
				acquisition of new or upgraded AI-EuroHPC
				supercomputers is estimated at EUR 800 million
				depending on the final budget availability (DEP
				funds). Please note that there are no
				differentiated budget slots for new versus
				upgraded AI-EuroHPC supercomputers.
29	19/10/2024	22/10/2024	Regarding the evaluation criterion "b) Proximity with an	Please follow the structure of application form
			established data centre, or connection to it via very high-	available in Annex 1. As this is a requirement
			speed networks (0-10 points)," in which section of the	related to the Hosting Entity's infrastructure,
			application form should this be reflected to facilitate the	we encourage you to insert the relevant
			evaluators' task?	information under the Section 2.3. "Quality of
				the hosting facility's physical and IT
				infrastructure, its security and its connectivity
				with the rest of the Union".
				Please note, however, that the experts are
				evaluating the full proposal irrespective where
				the information was inserted, thus, all
				information provided will be evaluated by the
				experts.

30	19/10/2024	22/10/2024	Which evaluation criterion does section 4.1.3. Trustworthy AI of the application form correspond to?	Please note that the soundness of the plans for developing Trustworthy AI is a part of evaluation criteria for the AI Factory, described under Section 8.3 point c of the Call text. The applicants shall include in their application a description of the plans they have for developing of robust guidelines and standards for AI algorithmic development aligned with the principles and requirements of the AI Act (Cf. Section 12.5 of the call).
31	19/10/2024	22/10/2024	Could you confirm if new hosting entities (that are not yet part of EuroHPC) can only participate through the acquisition track (Appendix I, 3. New AI Optimised Supercomputer Track)?	We confirm that track number 3 "New AI Optimised Supercomputer" is the only track foreseen for new hosting entities. Number 1 "AI Factories Track" and 2 "Upgraded AI Optimised Supercomputer Track" require an already existing EuroHPC supercomputer that is AI ready (no 1) or will be upgrade with AI capabilities (no 2).
32	19/10/2024	22/10/2024	While the maximum EU contribution for establishing and running each AI Factory is set at EUR 15 million for a maximum period of 3 years, could you please clarify the maximum EU contribution and duration for which the operating costs of the new AI EuroHPC supercomputer will be covered?	As stated in Art. 12 a) of Regulation (EU) 2021/1173 on establishing the European High Performance Computing Joint Undertaking, as amended by Regulation (EU) 2024/1732, in the case of new Al-optimised EuroHPC supercomputers , the Union financial contribution shall cover up to 50% of the operating costs for a period of five years (Art. 12 a) (7), after which the ownership of the Al-optimised supercomputer may be transferred to the hosting entity or the Al-optimised supercomputer may be sold to another entity or decommissioned upon decision of the Governing Board and in accordance with the hosting agreement.

33	19/10/2024	22/10/2024	Could you please confirm the maximum budget allocation for the development of the advanced experimental AI-optimised platform, if this option is included in the application and deemed valuable?	The total budget foreseen for the development and deployment of Advanced Experimental Al- optimised Supercomputing Platforms ("Platforms") is up to EUR 60 million, depending on the budget availability. As indicated in the Call conditions (Cf. Section 3), however, the final budget combination is subject to changes based on the received submissions, for instance, with increased share of budget allocated to the AI Factories. Please also note that the Union's contribution to the Platform cannot exceed 50% of total costs. The remaining total cost related to the Platform shall be covered by the Participating State where the hosting entity is established or by the Participating States in the hosting consortium.
34	19/10/2024	22/10/2024	Additionally, could you please clarify the duration of operation that will be covered by the funding [with regard to the Advanced Experimental Platform]?	Please note that in accordance with the Call conditions (Cf. Section 12.3 of the Call), the applicants shall indicate in the application the proposed duration of Advanced Experimental Al-optimised Supercomputing Platform ("Platform"). Such duration shall be duly justified and will be evaluated on its own merits.
35	19/10/2024	22/10/2024	While adhering to the provided application form template, is it possible to include additional sections to facilitate the review of the content, such as an executive summary or annexes to provide any relevant documentation?	In order to facilitate the assessment against the evaluation criteria, we kindly ask you to complete the form and its sections strictly following the instructions provided in Annex 1 without modify its structure. Additional information/details might be provided in separate supporting documents if necessary.
36	20/10/2024	22/10/2024	We wanted to double check on the Hosting Agreement model when is it expected to be published. It is	The model Hosting Agreement will be published with other Call documents once it is available.

		T		1
			particularly important in order to properly address	
			requirements for section 2.4. Quality of service to the	
			users, namely capability to comply with the SLA.	
			Additionally, since submission of the EoI equates with	
			acceptance of the HA terms and conditions, we would	
			appreciate the possibility to review and ask for	
			clarifications if any of conditions would raise concerns.	
37	20/10/2024	22/10/2024	Are the Annexes listed in the Application checklist	Please be informed that the limit of 200 pages
			(referenced in section 4.4 Application form) to be	applies only to the form itself. Supporting
			considered in the 200 page limit. Does the Application	letters or documents should not be included in
			need to include the compiled check list table? Similarly,	the page limit calculation. The checklist table is
			how are potential letters of support to be considered in	provided to ensure that all the required
			reference to the 200 page limit (part of or outside that	documents are attached.
			account)?	
38	20/10/2024	22/10/2024	The call text note that the application must be submitted	Please note that it is not necessary to stamp
			in 3 (three) copies - one original clearly identified as such	each individual page. It is sufficient to clearly
			- should this be done using an original respectively copy	separate the original, e.g. by means of a
			stamp on each page part of the submission including	separate folder, and to label it clearly.
			annexes?	
39	20/10/2024	22/10/2024	The call text notes that the application <i>must be signed by</i>	A valid electronic signature is sufficient in this
			the person authorised to enter into legally binding	case. For more details regarding the valid
			commitments on behalf of the applicant organisation - is	electronic signature, please refer to Q16.
			a final electronic signature sufficient or should all pages	
			of the application be signed by the authorised person?	
40	20/10/2024	22/10/2024	What is the level of detail / format expected for the AI	Please note that with reference to the budget
			Factory budget estimate that should be included in the	estimation for the AI factories and the
			Application? Similar question for the experimental	experimental platform, a template is available
			platform cost breakdown. Is there a reference template	in Annex 4d of the General Model Grant
			to be used.	Agreement attached to both Calls.
41	20/10/2024	22/10/2024	In reference to your answer to question 21 and footnote	Generally, award means the decision taken by
			10 / page 8 of Call text, what is intended / should be	the responsible Authorising Officer, not the
			understood by (after the) "award of the grant"? Is that	signature of the Grant Agreement. However,
			the signature of the Grant Agreement or award decision?	please note that regarding the participation in

Can additional partners be included between the sword	the different action financed from DEP and HE
Can additional partners be included between the award	
decision and the actual signature of the GA? Question	has to be distinguished. The Grant financed
raised for both types of GAs that is DEP and HE.	from DEP is a contractual arrangement between
	the EuroHPC JU and the hosting entity to cover
	the funding of the new or the upgraded AI
	EuroHPC supercomputer's operating costs. It
	will be a mono-beneficiary grant signed with the
	hosting entity only.
	The Grant for the Advanced Experimental AI-
	optimised Supercomputing Platform will be
	awarded on the basis of Article 198(f) of
	Regulation (EU) 2024/2509 of 23 September
	2024 on the financial rules applicable to the
	general budget of the Union (recast) (Article
	195(f) of the previous EU Financial Regulation
	2018/1046) to Hosting Entities of EuroHPC AI
	oriented or AI upgraded supercomputers. As
	this action can only be implemented alongside
	with the procurement for the acquisition and
	the operation activities of a new or upgraded AI
	EuroHPC supercomputer by the awarded
	Hosting Entities identified in Call EUROHPC-
	2024-CEI-AI-02)), or the awarded Hosting Entity
	of an existing EuroHPC supercomputer
	evaluated as AI ready in Call EUROHPC-2024-
	CEI-AI-01 (please see footnote 10), the
	participation in this action is limited to the
	hosting entity, or in case of a hosting
	consortium, to the members of the hosting
	consortium. No other partners be included
	between the award decision and the signature
	of the Grant Agreement.

42	20/10/2024	22/10/2024	Is the new / upgraded system envisioned to serve a	Unfortunately, it is not clear what quotas are
12	20, 10, 2021	22/ 10/ 202 1	certain quota to the AI Factory? What is the distribution	meant, could you please reformulate the
			/ reference quotas to consider, if any?	question.
43	21/10/2024	22/10/2024	We would like to invite partners from Western Balkan	In accordance with Section 6 "Eligibility Criteria"
.0	21, 10, 202 :		countries to participate in Al Factory: Montenegro, North	let. d) of the call, <i>"the applicant hosting entity</i>
			Macedonia and (if eligible) Bosnia and Herzegovina. They	shall represent one Participating State that is a
			would contribute effort in AI Factory. Is this in-line with	Member State or <i>a hosting consortium of</i>
			your expectations? If I am not mistaken, member states	Participating States that have agreed to
			are allowed to join.	contribute to the acquisition or the upgrade and
			······································	to the operation of the supercomputer, and to
				set up an 'Al Factory', (which may include
				several different legal entities from the same
				Participating State and/or from different
				Participating States)." With respect to the
				countries mentioned in the question, please be
				informed that only Montenegro and North
				Macedonia are Participating States. Therefore,
				Bosnia and Herzegovina is not allowed to join
				the partnership.
44	21/10/2024	22/10/2024	Is a private company entitled to apply for "Advanced	Diasso note that the application on Advanced
44	21/10/2024	22/10/2024	Experimental Al-optimised Supercomputing Platform" if	Please note that the application on Advanced Experimental AI-optimised Supercomputing
			member of the consortium?	Platform ("Platform") is an option to the main
				application and as such cannot be submitted as
				a separate application (cf. Q 8). For this reason,
				eligibility criteria for the Platform are the same
				as for the main application. The private
				companies which are legal entities are eligible if
				they fulfil all eligibility criteria provided for in
				Section 6 of the respective calls.
45	22/10/2024	23/10/2024	In our previous question "Is the new / upgraded system	Please be informed that according to Articles
	, 10, 2024	20, 10, 202 1	envisioned to serve a certain quota to the Al Factory?	12a (2) and 17 (1) of Regulation (EU) 2021/1173
			What is the distribution / reference quotas to consider,	on establishing the European High Performance
. <u> </u>	1			on estasisting the European right chornance

				1
			if any?" We were referencing here the different shares	Computing Joint Undertaking, as amended by
			of CPU-core-hours, GPU-hours and storage-hours, so a	Regulation (EU) 2024/1732 the Union's share of
			specific share dedicated to the AI factory and their users	access time to the AI optimised supercomputer
			regardless other country of provenance. Is the allocation	is directly proportionate to the Union's financial
			model (allocated shares) expected to be a mirroring of	contribution and shall not exceed 50% of the
			the proportions of the monetary contributions?	total access time. As for the AI upgrades, Article
				15 (5) of the mentioned Regulation provides
				that that the percentage of the Union's financial
				contribution for the acquisition costs of the
				grade shall be the same as the percentage of the
				Union's contribution to the original EuroHPC
				supercomputer.
				As set out in Annex 3 of the Call text, the access
				policy concerning the EU access time will be
				defined and agreed by the EuroHPC Governing
				Board in due time.
46	22/10/2024	23/10/2024	Can the AI factory consortium have different / additional	Please note that as indicated in the Call
			partners that are not part of the supercomputer hosting	conditions (cf. footnote 7), the grants for the AI
			consortium? We would like to engage additional	Factories will be awarded on the basis of Article
			partners exclusively for the activities of the AI Factory.	198(f) of the <u>EU Financial Regulation</u> . Such
				grants will be only awarded to the Hosting
				Entities of EuroHPC AI-oriented or AI-upgraded
				supercomputers, therefore the applicants for AI
				Factories shall not include any new partners in
				their consortia, other than these already
				participating in the initial consortia for EuroHPC
				AI-oriented/AI-upgraded supercomputers.
				However, please also note that as indicated in
				Section 8.3 of the Call (cf. footnote 11), the
				provision of the AI factories services may be
				implemented in a distributed manner by
				different partners from the selected hosting
				entity/consortium of Participating States.

47	22/10/2024	23/10/2024	If partners only participate to the AI Factory, can they	Please note that in accordance with the Call
47	22/10/2024	23/10/2024	come with their own 50% funding so not requesting	conditions (cf. Section 3), the financial
			national co-funding? Would that constitute an	
				contribution to an AI Factory that is not covered
			impediment? And would they still require their country	by the Union shall be covered by the
			MS approval/letter of commitment to be eligible?	Participating State where the hosting entity is
				established or by the Participating States in the
				hosting consortium. For this reason, the
				commitment letter from the Participating State
				or Participating States in case of a Hosting
				Consortium is necessary requirement of the
				application.
48	22/10/2024	23/10/2024	Are recruitment costs eligible? As the Factory will require	Please note that the grants for the AI Factories
			considerable resources to be put into place in short	will be awarded on the basis of Horizon Europe
			interval, we would like to know if engaging recruitment	Grant Agreement (Cf. Annex 4d of the Call).
			consultants would be an eligible cost?	Therefore, to be deemed eligible, the claimed
				costs shall fulfil the general and specific
				eligibility conditions.
				Concerning the general conditions, the costs to
				be eligible shall fulfil the criteria listed in Article
				6.1 of the model Grant Agreement (i.e.,
				incurred during the action; necessary for the
				action; incurred in connection with the action).
				For the specific eligibility criteria, please consult
				Article 6.2 of the model Horizon Europe Grant
				Agreement since the eligibility of such costs
				may differ depending, for instance, on type of
				employment or the nature of the work, etc. For
				instance, certain staff-related costs are not
				eligible under personnel costs (Article 6.2.A),
				however such costs may be considered eligible
				under other budget categories as
				subcontracting (Article 6.2.B) or costs for other
				good, works, services (Article 6.2.C).

49 22/10/2024	23/10/2024	Is the organisational and operational model of the competence centres appropriate to be considered also as a model for the set up and operations of the AI Factory?	In principle there are similarities between the concept of CCs and AI Factories, therefore such approach could be applicable. However, please note that Annex 3 of the Call text (Section 14) explains what to understand under an AI factory. Further, Annex 1 of the Call text (Section 12) details the content of the application, including for the AI factories. The criteria against which the proposal for the AI factory will be assessed are set out in Section 8.3 of the Call text.
50 22/10/2024	23/10/2024	 We would like to request clarification on how to structure personnel costs in the proposal. Based on the guidelines, we understand the following: Infrastructure personnel costs should follow the rules of the Digital Europe Programme (Annex 4c of the call, aligned with the template in Annex 2a), which include categories such: System administration Application enablement, user support and training Facility team Installation team. Al Factory and AI experimental platform personnel costs are subject to the Horizon Europe rules (Annex 4d of the call, aligned with the template in Annex 2), which should include the following minimum requirements as indicated in the application form template: Number of system administrators (FTE) expected to dedicate to the running of the supercomputer service 	Please be informed that for the operating costs for the AI optimised or upgraded EuroHPC supercomputer will be funded by a mono- beneficiary grant that follows the unit cost methodology, supported by the Digital Europe Programme. Annex 2a of the Unit Digital Europe Programme (DEP) Grant Agreement (Annex 4c of the Call) provides information on how to calculate the unit costs, including the unit costs for personnel. In this context, please be aware that the flat rate of 7% for indirect costs applies only to the personnel cost category, not to all direct cost categories defined by the Digital Europe Programme. We confirm that by contrast the personnel costs in the context of the AI factory and the AI experimental platform follow the rules defined by the Horizon Europe Programme and will be implemented through separate grants distinct from the grant covering the operating costs for the AI optimised or upgraded EuroHPC supercomputer.

			 Number of user support staff (FTE) expected to dedicate to the running of the users of the supercomputer and application support Number of technical support staff (FTE) expected to dedicate for an Application Support Team We would appreciate it if you could confirm whether this distinction between infrastructure and Al Factory/experimental platform personnel costs is correct. 	
51	22/10/2024	23/10/2024	Could you clarify if all these personnel costs should be included under Operating Costs along with the examples provided, or if there is room to create an <u>additional</u> <u>category for personnel costs</u> ?	Please be informed that the cost categories set out in Annex 2a of the Unit Digital Europe Programme (DEP) Grant Agreement (Annex 4c of the Call), i.e. the categories "site preparation cost", "personnel costs" and "operations and maintenance", are concluding. However, as explained in Annex 2a, the list of actions under each cost category is non- exhaustive for the operating costs. If the applicants provide well-justified additional items under a category, they can be accepted in accordance with the Unit cost methodology described in Annex 2a.
52	22/10/2024	23/10/2024	We noticed that Annex 2 of the HE MGA (Annex 4d of the call) is not displaying correctly and is missing some relevant footnotes. Could you kindly provide a clearer version of the template or a link to an alternative document?	Please note that the template of Annex 4d of our call reflects the exact content of Annex 2 of the HE MGA also available at the following link: <u>Horizon Europe – Grants & reporting - European</u> <u>Commission</u> No other version of the annex is available.

53	22/10/2024	23/10/2024	Regarding one of the previous questions, could you kindly confirm the maximum budget allocation foreseen for <u>each</u> advanced experimental AI-optimised platform?	Unfortunately, at this stage, it is not possible to provide a maximum budget for each advanced experimental AI-optimised platform. As indicated in the footnote no 6 of Section 3 of the Call (p.5), the total budget foreseen for the development and deployment of Advanced Experimental AI-optimised Supercomputing Platforms ("Platforms") is up to EUR 60 million. The final budget is subject to changes based on the received submissions, for instance, with increased share of budget allocated to the AI Factories. Please also refer to Q33.
54	16/10/2024	24/10/2024	I would like to ask some clarifications on the Declaration on Honor required evidence: When all "situations of exclusion" criteria sections A- I,II,III,IV are all marked as "NO" we assume that no further evidence is required (since there are no tender specifications in the case of AI factories call EUROHPC- 2024-CEI-AI-01). Thus, apart from the DoH itself, we do not plan to include further documents in the proposal, at the submission stage. Is this correct?	As specified in the EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-01 text, under Section 7.4, <i>applicants</i> and <i>affiliated</i> entities must provide a Declaration on Honour certifying that they are not in any exclusion situation. In addition to the DoH form duly filled in and signed, it is required that the DoH is supported by appropriate evidence to prove they are not in any such situation. Please refer to Section VI (Evidence on Exclusion Criteria, p.5) of the DoH where the documentary evidence required is listed. The submission of the evidence is not required in case the applicant has already provided them for another procedure of EuroHPC JU and the situation has not changed (the documents must have been issued no more than one year before the date of their request by the contracting authority and must still be valid at that date); and when evidence can be accessed on a national database free of charge.

55	16/10/2024	24/10/2024	I would like to ask some clarifications on the type of consortium members we are able to include in our proposal: Apart from beneficiaries, we can see in the Model Grant Agreement of the EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-01 call that there are also the categories of Affiliated entities, Third parties giving in-kind contribution, subcontractors and recipients of financial support to third parties. Are all the above categories of partners eligible in our case?	Please be reminded that a grant for an AI Factory will be awarded on the basis of Article 198(f) of the EU Financial Rules only to the existing EuroHPC Hosting Entities (cf. Q46 for more details). Therefore, the composition of consortium applying for an AI Factory (consortium members) shall reflect the composition of an initial consortium of a EuroHPC AI-oriented/AI-upgraded supercomputer, for instance, no new members can be added.
			We are wondering since in the call description there is no extensive description apart from: "The same exclusion criteria apply to affiliated entities."	Please also note that the grant signed after the selection will be a mono-beneficiary Horizon Europe Grant Agreement, signed with the coordinating Hosting Entity on behalf of the applying consortium. For subcontractors, third parties giving in-kind contribution to the action, and recipients of financial support to third parties, standard rules of the Horizon Europe Grant Agreement will apply.
56	16/10/2024	24/10/2024	I would like to ask what is the planned starting date of an AI factory project in case it is submitted and approved in the first cut-off date? Is there a specific date? Will we be able to choose a later date within 2025?	 There is not a specific date. The starting date of an AI Factory project will be established in the grant agreement (Annex 4d - General Model Grant Agreement, Data Sheet Point 1). The options are the following: first day of the month following the entry into force date; or fixed date.
57	16/10/2024	24/10/2024	I would like to ask some clarifications on the amendment made for other Participating States being able to join a hosting consortium:	Generally, in accordance with Article 9 of the Council Regulation (EU) 2024/1732 of 17 June 2024 after the selection of the hosting entity,

			In case some eligible countries declare their intention to	the Participating State where the selected
			join a consortium, but due to time restrictions, they are	hosting entity is established or the
			not able to commit on specific funding from their side, is	corresponding hosting consortium may decide
			there a way to fully join the consortium at another stage?	to invite, subject to the prior agreement of the
			E.g. Could they provide to a proposal a letter of	Commission, additional Participating States, or
			Intent/Support and as soon as they secure their national	a consortium of private partners, to join the
			funding, to make an amendment or	hosting consortium.
			resubmission/extension at the next cut-off deadline of	However, please be reminded that the grant for
			the same call? Is there any other way for them to be	an AI Factory will be awarded on the basis of
			included in the proposal?	Article 198(f) of the EU Financial Rules only to
				the existing EuroHPC Hosting Entities (in case of
				Call Ref. EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-01). Therefore,
				the composition of consortium applying for an
				AI Factory (consortium members) shall reflect
				the composition of the initial consortium of the
				EuroHPC AI-oriented/AI-upgraded
				supercomputer, for instance, no new members
				can be added after signature of the Grant
				Agreement (cf. Q46 for more details). Partners
				must fulfil the eligibility criteria described in the
				Call and shall submit all requested supporting
				documents. An inclusion of new partners shall
				neither be considered as a "re-submission" nor
				an amendment during a next cut-off and would
				only be possible until the signature of the Grant
				Agreement.
58	24/10/2024	24/10/2024	The deadline for the first cut-off is on the 4 th of	Please note that the EuroHPC Joint Undertaking
			November (Monday). However, the 1 st of November	office is closed on the 1 st November 2024,
			(Friday) is a bank holiday in some of the countries. Are	therefore, you should take this into
			the JU offices open on this date to collect a delivery?	consideration when organising your delivery.

and affiliated entities must provide, in addition to the DoH, appropriate evidence to prove that threy are not in any exclusion situation. Does this also apply to evideus further supporting documents lists Section A.VI of the Declaration on Honou on selection criteria? According to the DoH it is set out in the tender specifications what evidence, when, and by which involved entity needs to be provided. Since this stage. Please also note that the EuroHPC JU is us standard template for the Declaration Honour, therefore all references to call an applications. 60 25/10/2024 25/10/2024 We plan to submit an application to the EUROHPC-2024- Please be informed that in accordance with council acquisition soft an existing petascale system. Within the proposal, we plan to install a new computing partition soft an existing petascale system. However, due to technical reasons, we plan to operate the original components of the period specified in the original components of the original ones. 1. Is the approach described above possible given the constraints of the EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02 call? Contribution for the original components of the original petascale system thur of the original components of the original petascale system in such a way that this life extension takes into account the fact that after the original system will not be provided with lifetime of the or supercomputer.	59	25/10/2024	25/10/2024	In your answer to Q 54 you clarified that all applicants	Please note that the applicants are requested to
60 25/10/2024 25/10/2024 25/10/2024 We plan to submit an application to the EUROHPC-2024- CEI-AI-02 call, within which we would like to propose an upgrade of the existing petascale system. However, due to technical an existing petascale system. Nowever, due to technical reasons, we plan to operate the original components of the petascale system only for the period specified in the original/current Hosting Agreement. After this date, the new components ("Al upgrade") will completely replac the original costs of the EUROHPC-2024-CI or the original System will constraints of the EUROHPC-2024-CI or the original System will costs of the upgrade of the existing petascale system only for the period specified in the original/current Hosting Agreement. After this date, the new components ("Al upgrade") will completely replac the original costs of the EUROHPC-2024-CI or the original EUROHPC supercomputer. Section A.VI of the Declaration on Honou further supporting documents are request the in operation anymore, EuroPHOC Supercomputer.	23	23/10/2024	23/10/2024		
60 25/10/2024 25/10/2024 We plan to submit an application to the EUROHPC-2024- there? Please also note that the EuroHPC JU is us standard template for the Declaration Honour, therefore all references procurement and/or tenders shall understood as references to call an applications. 60 25/10/2024 25/10/2024 We plan to submit an application to the EUROHPC-2024- there? Please be informed that in accordance wit Cannou for the existing petascale system. Within the proposal, we plan to install a new computing partition aimed for A1 calculations together with a new data storage and control servers, into the existing petascale system. Installing a new partition will extend the life of an existing petascale system only for the period specified in the original/current Hosting Agreement. After this date, the original/current Hosting Agreement. After this date, the original cursifier of the EUROHPC-2024- 1. Is the approach described above possible given the constraints of the EUROHPC-2024-CII June at the original petascale system in such a way that this life extension takes into accordance of the period specified in the original petascale system in such a way that this life of the original petascale system with the infetime of the original petascale system in such a way that this life of the original petascale system with the for the original petascale system with the for the original petascale system with the sing Agreement. After this date, the original getascale system in such a way that this life extension takes into account the fact that after the original system will not be aligned with lifetime of the or supercomputer.				-	
6025/10/202425/10/202425/10/202425/10/202425/10/202425/10/202425/10/202425/10/2024We plan to submit an application to the EUROHPC-2024- there?Please also note that the EuroHPC JU is us standard template for the Declaration Honour, therefore all references procurement and/or tenders shall understood as references to call an applications.6025/10/202425/10/2024We plan to submit an application to the EUROHPC-2024- there?Please be informed that in accordance wit CEI-AI-02 call, within which we would like to propose an upgrade of the existing petascale system. Within the proposal, we plan to install a new computing partition storage and control servers, into the existing petascale system. Installing a new partition will extend the life of an existing petascale system. However, due to technical and of the call text, the percentage of the petascale system only for the period specified in the original/current Hosting Agreement. After this date, the erroming lifetime of the original to original the original completely replace and so the call text, the percentage of the original furthe original petascale system in such a way that this life extension takes into account the fact that after the original system will note supercomputer.1Is the approach described above possible given the constraints of the EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02 call?2Is it possible to agree with EuroHPC JU on a HA amendment to extend the life of the original petascale system in such a way that this life extension takes into acquoration take sinto in operation anymore, EuroHPC JU will not be provided1Is the approach described above possible given the acquoration take sinto supercomputer.2					
60 25/10/2024 25/10/2024 We plan to submit an application to the EUROHPC-2024- there? Please also note that the EuroHPC JU is us standard template for the Declaration Honour, therefore all references procurement and/or tenders shall understood as references to call an applications. 60 25/10/2024 25/10/2024 We plan to submit an application to the EUROHPC-2024- there? Please be informed that in accordance wit CEI-AI-02 call, within which we would like to propose an upgrade of the existing petascale system. Within the proposal, we plan to install a new computing partition aimed for AI calculations together with a new data storage and control servers, into the existing petascale system. Installing a new partition will extend the life of an existing petascale system. However, due to technical reasons, we plan to operate the original components of the petascale system. Hosting Agreement. After this date, the original/current Hosting Agree with EuroHPC JU on a HA amendment to extend the life of the original petascale system in such a way that this life extension takes into account the fact that after the original system will not be supercomputer. For this reason, the lifetime of the original supercomputer. 1. Is the approach described above possible given the constraints of the EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02 call? For this reason, the lifetime of the original supercomputer. 2. Is it possible to agree with EuroHPC JU on a HA amendment to extend the life of the original petascale system in such a way that this life extension takes into account the fact that after the original system will not be supercomputer (i.e., by submitting a pro that would align with the lifetime of an or that would align with the lifetime of an or					
 which involved entity needs to be provided. Since this call is not a call for tender but a call for expression of interest these details are not given in the call text. Can you please clarify if and what kind of evidence you expect here? 25/10/2024 25/10/2024 We plan to submit an application to the EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02 call, within which we would like to proposa upgrade of the existing petascale system. Within the proposal, we plan to install a new computing partition aimed for AI calculations together with a new data storage and control servers, into the existing petascale system. Installing a new partition will extend the life of an existing petascale system. Installing a new partition will extend the life of the original components of the percentage of the Union's financial contribution for the petascale system only for the period specified in the original components ("AI upgrade") will completely replace the original petascale system only for the period specified in the original components ("AI upgrade") will completely replace the original petascale system in such a way that this life of the original petascale system in such a way that this life of the original petascale system will not be aligned with lifetime of the upgrade shall be aligned with lifetime of the or supercomputer. 				-	this stage.
call is not a call for tender but a call for expression of interest these details are not given in the call text. Can you please clarify if and what kind of evidence you expect here?standard template for the Declaration Honour, therefore all references procurement and/or tenders shall understood as references to call a applications.6025/10/202425/10/2024We plan to submit an application to the EUROHPC-2024- CEI-AI-02 call, within which we would like to propose an upgrade of the existing petascale system. Within the proposal, we plan to install a new computing partition aimed for AI calculations together with a new data storage and control servers, into the existing petascale system. Installing a new partition will extend the life of the original components of the petascale system only for the period specified in the original/current Hosting Agreement. After this date, the new components ("AI upgrade") will completely replace the original comps.Please the informed that in accordance and 3 of the Call text, the percentage of Union's financial contribution for acquisition costs of the upgrade shall b same as the percentage of the Union's financial contribution for the original Eur supercomputer, depreciated over the exp remaining lifetime of the ori supercomputer.1. Is the approach described above possible given the constraints of the EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02 call? 2. Is it possible to agree with EuroHPC JU on a HA amendment to extend the life of the original system will not be in operation anymore, EuroHPC JU will not be providedFor this reason, the lifetime of the original pro- supercomputer (i.e., by submitting a pro that would align with the lifetime of an or supercomputer (i.e., by submitting a pro that would align with the lifetime of ano supercomputer (i.e., by submitting				-	Discourse that the EventUDC UL is using a
6025/10/202425/10/2024We plan to submit an application to the EUROHPC-2024- CEI-AI-02 call, within which we would like to propose an upgrade of the existing petascale system. Within the proposal, we plan to install a new computing partition aimed for AI calculations together with a new data storage and control servers, into the existing petascale system. Installing a new partition will extend the life of an existing petascale system only for the period specified in the original/current Hosting Agreement. After this date, the new components ("AI upgrade") will completely replace the original ones.Honour, therefore all references procurement and/or tenders shall understood as references to call an applications.6025/10/202425/10/2024We plan to submit an application to the EUROHPC-2024- CEI-AI-02 call, within which we would like to propose an upgrade of the existing petascale system. Installing a new partition will extend the life of an existing petascale system. However, due to technical acquisition costs of the upgrade shall b same as the percentage of Union's financial contribution for the original leur supercomputer.1.Is the approach described above possible given the constraints of the EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02 call? 2.For this reason, the lifetime of the or supercomputer.2.Is it possible to agree with EuroHPC JU on a HA amendment to extend the life of the original petascale system in such a way that this life extension takes into account the fact that after the original system will not be provided3.Is it possible to agree with EuroHPC JU will not be provided					5
you please clarify if and what kind of evidence you expect here?procurement and/or tenders shall understood as references to call at applications.6025/10/202425/10/2024We plan to submit an application to the EUROHPC-2024- CEI-AI-02 call, within which we would like to propose an upgrade of the existing petascale system. Within the proposal, we plan to install a new computing partition storage and control servers, into the existing petascale system. Installing a new partition will extend the life of an existing petascale system. However, due to technical reasons, we plan to operate the original components of the petascale system only for the period specified in the original/current Hosting Agreement. After this date, the new components ("Al upgrade") will completely replace the original ones.procurement and/or tenders shall understood as references to call at applications.1.Is the approach described above possible given the constraints of the EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02 call? 2.Is it possible to agree with EurOHPC JU on a HA amendment to extend the life of the original petascale system in such a way that this life extension takes into account the fact that after the original system will not be providedFor this reason, the lifetime of the original proprecenduler.6025/10/2024Is it possible to agree with EurOHPC JU will not be providedPlease be informed that in accordance wit council Regulation 2024/1732 of 17 June. LuroHPC supercomputer.6025/10/2024We plan to system will not be proposal, we plan to operate the original petascale system such a way that this life extension takes into account the fact that after the original system will not be provided				•	
60 25/10/2024 25/10/2024 We plan to submit an application to the EUROHPC-2024- CEI-AI-02 call, within which we would like to propose an upgrade of the existing petascale system. Within the proposal, we plan to install a new computing partition aimed for AI calculations together with a new data storage and control servers, into the existing petascale system. Installing a new partition will extend the life of an existing petascale system. However, due to technical reasons, we plan to operate the original components of the petascale system only for the period specified in the original/current Hosting Agreement. After this date, the new components ("AI upgrade") will completely replace the original ones. Union's financial contribution for acquisition costs of the upgrade shall bo same as the percentage of the Union's fina- contribution for the original EuroHPC 2024-CEI-AI-02 call? 2. Is it possible to agree with EuroHPC JU on a HA amendment to extend the life of the original petascale system in such a way that this life extension takes into account the fact that after the original system will not be in operation anymore, EuroHPC JU will not be provided For this reason, the lifetime of the op supercomputer (i.e., by submitting a pro- that would align with the lifetime of an or supercomputer (i.e., by submitting a pro- that would align with the lifetime of an or				-	
60 25/10/2024 25/10/2024 We plan to submit an application to the EUROHPC-2024- CEI-AI-02 call, within which we would like to propose an upgrade of the existing petascale system. Within the proposal, we plan to install a new computing partition aimed for AI calculations together with a new data storage and control servers, into the existing petascale system. Installing a new partition will extend the life of an existing petascale system. However, due to technical reasons, we plan to operate the original components of the petascale system only for the period specified in the original/current Hosting Agreement. After this date, the new components ("AI upgrade") will completely replace the original ones. Union's financial contribution for the original ones. 1. Is the approach described above possible given the constraints of the EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02 call? Is it possible to agree with EuroHPC JU on a HA amendment to extend the life of the original petascale system in such a way that this life extension takes into account the fact that after the original petascale system in operation anymore, EuroHPC JU will not be provided For this reason, the lifetime of the upgrade be aligned with lifetime of the original supercomputer (i.e., by submitting a pro- that would align with the lifetime of an or the volud align with the lifetime of an or					
60 25/10/2024 25/10/2024 We plan to submit an application to the EUROHPC-2024- CEI-AI-02 call, within which we would like to propose an upgrade of the existing petascale system. Within the proposal, we plan to install a new computing partition aimed for AI calculations together with a new data storage and control servers, into the existing petascale system. Installing a new partition will extend the life of an existing petascale system. However, due to technical reasons, we plan to operate the original components of the petascale system only for the period specified in the original/current Hosting Agreement. After this date, the new components ("AI upgrade") will completely replace the original ones. Union's financial contribution for acquisition costs of the upgrade shall bi same as the percentage of the original contribution for the original Euro supercomputer. 1. Is the approach described above possible given the constraints of the EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02 call? Si ti possible to agree with EurOHPC JU on a HA amendment to extend the life of the original petascale system in such a way that this life extension takes into account the fact that after the original system will not be in operation anymore, EuroHPC JU will not be provided For this reason, the lifetime of the original petascale supercomputer (i.e., by submitting a pro that would align with the lifetime of an or				here?	
 CEI-AI-02 call, within which we would like to propose an upgrade of the existing petascale system. Within the proposal, we plan to install a new computing partition aimed for AI calculations together with a new data storage and control servers, into the existing petascale system. Installing a new partition will extend the life of an existing petascale system. However, due to technical reasons, we plan to operate the original components of the petascale system only for the period specified in the original/current Hosting Agreement. After this date, the original ones. Is the approach described above possible given the constraints of the EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02 call? Is it possible to agree with EuroHPC JU on a HA amedment to extend the life of the original petascale system in such a way that this life extension takes into account the fact that after the original system will not be provided 					
 upgrade of the existing petascale system. Within the proposal, we plan to install a new computing partition aimed for AI calculations together with a new data storage and control servers, into the existing petascale system. Installing a new partition will extend the life of an existing petascale system. However, due to technical reasons, we plan to operate the original compronents of the petascale system only for the period specified in the original/current Hosting Agreement. After this date, the new components ("AI upgrade") will completely replace the original ones. Is the approach described above possible given the constraints of the EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02 call? Is it possible to agree with EuroHPC JU on a HA amendment to extend the life of the original petascale system in such a way that this life extension takes into account the fact that after the original system will not be provided in original in original system will not be provided 	60	25/10/2024	25/10/2024		Please be informed that in accordance with the
 proposal, we plan to install a new computing partition aimed for AI calculations together with a new data storage and control servers, into the existing petascale system. Installing a new partition will extend the life of an existing petascale system. However, due to technical reasons, we plan to operate the original components of the petascale system only for the period specified in the original/current Hosting Agreement. After this date, the new components ("AI upgrade") will completely replace the original period specified in the original ones. 1. Is the approach described above possible given the constraints of the EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02 call? 2. Is it possible to agree with EuroHPC JU on a HA amendment to extend the life of the original petascale system in such a way that this life extension takes into account the fact that after the original system will not be in operation anymore, EuroHPC JU will not be provided 				CEI-AI-02 call, within which we would like to propose an	Council Regulation 2024/1732 of 17 June 2024,
 aimed for AI calculations together with a new data storage and control servers, into the existing petascale system. Installing a new partition will extend the life of an existing petascale system. However, due to technical reasons, we plan to operate the original components of the petascale system only for the period specified in the original/current Hosting Agreement. After this date, the new components ("AI upgrade") will completely replace the original ones. 1. Is the approach described above possible given the constraints of the EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02 call? 2. Is it possible to agree with EuroHPC JU on a HA amendment to extend the life of the original petascale system in such a way that this life extension takes into account the fact that after the original system will not be in operation anymore, EuroHPC JU will not be provided 				upgrade of the existing petascale system. Within the	the upgrade of the EuroHPC supercomputer
 storage and control servers, into the existing petascale system. Installing a new partition will extend the life of an existing petascale system. However, due to technical reasons, we plan to operate the original components of the petascale system only for the period specified in the original/current Hosting Agreement. After this date, the new components ("Al upgrade") will completely replace the original ones. Is the approach described above possible given the constraints of the EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02 call? Is it possible to agree with EuroHPC JU on a HA amendment to extend the life of the original petascale system in such a way that this life extension takes into account the fact that after the original system will not be in operation anymore, EuroHPC JU will not be provided 				proposal, we plan to install a new computing partition	shall be owned by the EuroHPC JU under the
 system. Installing a new partition will extend the life of an existing petascale system. However, due to technical reasons, we plan to operate the original components of the petascale system only for the period specified in the original/current Hosting Agreement. After this date, the new components ("AI upgrade") will completely replace the original ones. Is the approach described above possible given the constraints of the EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02 call? Is it possible to agree with EuroHPC JU on a HA amendment to extend the life of the original petascale system in such a way that this life extension takes into account the fact that after the original system will not be in operation anymore, EuroHPC JU will not be provided Article 15(5) thereof and explained in Secti and 3 of the Call text, the percentage of Union's financial contribution for acquisition costs of the upgrade shall bu same as the percentage of the Union's financial contribution for the original Eur supercomputer, depreciated over the exp remaining lifetime of the original supercomputer. 				aimed for AI calculations together with a new data	same conditions of ownership as the original
 an existing petascale system. However, due to technical reasons, we plan to operate the original components of the petascale system only for the period specified in the original/current Hosting Agreement. After this date, the new components ("AI upgrade") will completely replace the original new. 1. Is the approach described above possible given the constraints of the EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02 call? 2. Is it possible to agree with EuroHPC JU on a HA amendment to extend the life of the original petascale system in such a way that this life extension takes into account the fact that after the original system will not be in operation anymore, EuroHPC JU will not be provided 				storage and control servers, into the existing petascale	EuroHPC supercomputer. In accordance with
 reasons, we plan to operate the original components of the petascale system only for the period specified in the original/current Hosting Agreement. After this date, the new components ("Al upgrade") will completely replace the original ones. Is the approach described above possible given the constraints of the EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02 call? Is it possible to agree with EuroHPC JU on a HA amendment to extend the life of the original petascale system in such a way that this life extension takes into account the fact that after the original system will not be in operation anymore, EuroHPC JU will not be provided Union's financial contribution for acquisition costs of the upgrade shall be same as the percentage of the Union's financial contribution for the original Eur supercomputer, depreciated over the exp remaining lifetime of the or supercomputer. 				system. Installing a new partition will extend the life of	Article 15(5) thereof and explained in Sections 1
 the petascale system only for the period specified in the original/current Hosting Agreement. After this date, the new components ("AI upgrade") will completely replace the original ones. Is the approach described above possible given the constraints of the EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02 call? Is it possible to agree with EuroHPC JU on a HA amendment to extend the life of the original petascale system in such a way that this life extension takes into account the fact that after the original system will not be in operation anymore, EuroHPC JU will not be provided 				an existing petascale system. However, due to technical	and 3 of the Call text, the percentage of the
 original/current Hosting Agreement. After this date, the new components ("AI upgrade") will completely replace the original ones. Is the approach described above possible given the constraints of the EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02 call? Is it possible to agree with EuroHPC JU on a HA amendment to extend the life of the original petascale system in such a way that this life extension takes into account the fact that after the original system will not be in operation anymore, EuroHPC JU will not be provided 				reasons, we plan to operate the original components of	Union's financial contribution for the
new components ("Al upgrade") will completely replace the original ones.contribution for the original Eur supercomputer, depreciated over the exp remaining lifetime of the or supercomputer.1. Is the approach described above possible given the constraints of the EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02 call?remaining lifetime of the or supercomputer.2. Is it possible to agree with EuroHPC JU on a HA amendment to extend the life of the original petascale system in such a way that this life extension takes into account the fact that after the original system will not be in operation anymore, EuroHPC JU will not be providedFor this reason, the lifetime of the or supercomputer (i.e., by submitting a pro that would align with the lifetime of an or				the petascale system only for the period specified in the	acquisition costs of the upgrade shall be the
the original ones.supercomputer, depreciated over the exp1. Is the approach described above possible given the constraints of the EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02 call?remaininglifetimeoftheor2. Is it possible to agree with EuroHPC JU on a HA amendment to extend the life of the original petascale system in such a way that this life extension takes into account the fact that after the original system will not be in operation anymore, EuroHPC JU will not be providedsupercomputer, depreciated over the exp remaining				original/current Hosting Agreement. After this date, the	same as the percentage of the Union's financial
1. Is the approach described above possible given the constraints of the EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02 call?remaininglifetimeoftheor2. Is it possible to agree with EuroHPC JU on a HA amendment to extend the life of the original petascale system in such a way that this life extension takes into account the fact that after the original system will not be in operation anymore, EuroHPC JU will not be providedremaininglifetimeoftheorsupercomputer.				new components ("AI upgrade") will completely replace	contribution for the original EuroHPC
constraints of the EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02 call? supercomputer. 2. Is it possible to agree with EuroHPC JU on a HA amendment to extend the life of the original petascale For this reason, the lifetime of the upgrade system in such a way that this life extension takes into account the fact that after the original system will not be in operation anymore, EuroHPC JU will not be provided supercomputer (i.e., by submitting a protect of an original system will not be provided				the original ones.	supercomputer, depreciated over the expected
2. Is it possible to agree with EuroHPC JU on a HA amendment to extend the life of the original petascale system in such a way that this life extension takes into account the fact that after the original system will not be in operation anymore, EuroHPC JU will not be provided				1. Is the approach described above possible given the	remaining lifetime of the original
amendment to extend the life of the original petascaleFor this reason, the lifetime of the upgradesystem in such a way that this life extension takes intobe aligned with lifetime of the oraccount the fact that after the original system will not besupercomputer (i.e., by submitting a proin operation anymore, EuroHPC JU will not be providedthat would align with the lifetime of an or				constraints of the EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02 call?	supercomputer.
system in such a way that this life extension takes into account the fact that after the original system will not be in operation anymore, EuroHPC JU will not be providedbe aligned with lifetime of the or supercomputer (i.e., by submitting a pro that would align with the lifetime of an or				2. Is it possible to agree with EuroHPC JU on a HA	
system in such a way that this life extension takes into account the fact that after the original system will not be in operation anymore, EuroHPC JU will not be providedbe aligned with lifetime of the or supercomputer (i.e., by submitting a pro that would align with the lifetime of an or				amendment to extend the life of the original petascale	For this reason, the lifetime of the upgrade shall
account the fact that after the original system will not besupercomputer (i.e., by submitting a proin operation anymore, EuroHPC JU will not be providedthat would align with the lifetime of an or					be aligned with lifetime of the original
in operation anymore, EuroHPC JU will not be provided that would align with the lifetime of an or					supercomputer (i.e., by submitting a proposal
				•••	that would align with the lifetime of an original
with the 35% of the capacities of the original petascale				with the 35% of the capacities of the original petascale	

			system, but only 35% of the capacities of the "Al upgrade" part.	 machine or by extension of the lifetime of the original machine). To summarise on your questions the replies thus would be: 1. This approach is not possible. 2. The lifetime of the upgrade needs to conclude with the lifetime of the original system.
61	25/10/2024	28/10/2024	Should documentary evidence be provided for the DoH at this point in time? Similarly, is the access to databases/identification data related to such evidence (as referenced on page 6 of the DoH form) needed at the time of the submission of the Eol?	Please note that the applicants are requested to submit the supporting documents listed in Section A.VI of the Declaration on Honour with the submission. This applies also to evidence if it accessible on a national database free of charge.
62	28/10/2024	28/10/2024	When the hosting entity applies for an AI factory based on the existing supercomputer, are all the members of the initial consortium automatically considered members of the AI factory consortium even if they do not contribute with extra budget?	Please note that Call EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-01 is opened only for existing Hosting Entities, the applying consortia shall reflect the composition of the initial hosting consortia. This means that no new additional members shall be added (cf. Q46). However, it is not automatically assumed that all members of an initial consortium are also members of a new consortium. It is an internal decision of the consortia with regard to the final composition within the limits indicated in the Call conditions (i.e., not including all members of initial consortia).
63	28/10/2024	28/10/2024	What are the minimum requirements for them to be considered part of the AI factory consortium? Can they be included as affiliated entities or even beneficiaries with zero budget or is there some other scheme for other countries to participate in the consortium?	In terms of eligibility requirements, as explained in previous questions (cf. Q46, Q62), the composition of consortia applying for the AI Factories Call (Ref. EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-01) shall reflect the composition of the initial hosting consortia. The members of the

64	28/10/2024	28/10/2024	If they are able to participate in the consortium at this	consortia shall fulfil the eligibility requirements indicated in Section 6 of the Call. Apart from the financial contributions, a member of a consortium could also contribute with in-kind contributions (i.e., providing an FTE or equivalent). Please also note that the Grant Agreement resulting from the award of an AI Factory will be a standard mono-beneficiary Horizon Europe Grant Agreement, therefore standard rules of Horizon Europe are applicable to different types of participants (cf. Q55). Please be informed that the GA cannot be
64	28/10/2024	28/10/2024	stage with zero budget, in case they secure national funding (<5M \in), can we have an amendment on the GA?	amended. The grant for an AI Factory will be awarded on the basis of Article 198(f) of the EU Financial Rules. The financial contribution should be set out in the commitment letters (please also refer to Q46, 55, 57).
65	23/10/2024	28/10/2024	Could you please confirm if a Member State is allowed to submit more than one hosting entity application in the same call, meaning more than one hosting entity from the same Member State? For example, would it be possible for a Member State to apply for: 1. Upgrading a current EuroHPC supercomputer towards an AI Factory (Track 2) by an existing EuroHPC hosting entity, and 2. The acquisition of a new AI Factory optimised Supercomputer (Track 3) by a new hosting entity?	Please note that there is no formal restriction to submit only one application per Member State, in particular if the two applications are submitted by different Hosting Entities/member of Hosting consortia. However, in both cases an applying Hosting Entity/a member of a Hosting Consortium shall submit an individual commitment letter from the Participating State for the respective applications. However, please note that the participation in more than one AI Factory should be duly justified in the respective

				applications to avoid overlapping of activities and double funding.
66	23/10/2024	28/10/2024	I am writing you to ask a question regarding the	Please be informed that in case the co-financing
			commitment letter of participating states for the call	of the Participating State is coming from
			EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02 . Our question is:	different governmental bodies (i.e., different
			We have 3 national agencies in our country that will be	ministries and/or regional ministries), please
			co-funding this action (2 ministries and 1 regional	provide separate commitment letters.
			government) Could you please confirm if it is correct to	
			provide the Annex 1c (model commitment letter	
			participating states) for each of them as a confirmation	
			of the governmental support for the funding?	
67	21/10/2024	28/10/2024	Is it eligible to host the Advanced Experimental AI-	Please note that an application for an Advanced
			Optimised Supercomputing Platform on a different	Experimental AI-Optimised Supercomputing
			location than the AI EuroHPC supercomputer in case both	Platform ("Platform") is an option for the
			locations are in the same Member State? And is it eligible	Hosting Entities with the goal of such Platform
			in case of hosting in two different Member States?	to provide an exploratory supercomputing
				infrastructure for the development, integration,
				testing, and co-design of a wide range of
				European technologies <u>suitable to be part of the</u>
				new or the upgraded AI EuroHPC
				supercomputer. Please also note that the
				application submitted for the Platform is not a
				separate application but an integral part of the
				main application and cannot be granted
				without awarding the main application (cf.
				Q44). For these reasons, it is not eligible to have
				two hosting sites, one hosting an EuroHPC AI-
				oriented supercomputer and another one
				hosting the Advanced Experimental Al-
				Optimised Supercomputing Platform.
68	21/10/2024	28/10/2024	For the Advanced Experimental AI-Optimised	As mentioned in the call text "The goal of such
			Supercomputing Platform is foreseen to be some state-	a platform shall be to develop an exploratory
				supercomputing infrastructure for the

			of-the-art platform. Is it eligible to use HW components	development, integration, testing, and co-
			that are currently available on the market?	design of a wide range of European
				technologies suitable to be part of a newly
				acquired or upgraded EuroHPC
				supercomputer". Therefore, key components of
				the platform are expected to derive from
				European R&D activities.
69	21/10/2024	28/10/2024	How should we prove a high degree of technical	Candidates need to provide such evidence of
			competence and specialisation in developing exploratory	competencies through the three evaluation
			supercomputing infrastructure for the development,	criteria foreseen by the call, namely: Excellence,
			integration, testing and co-design of a wide range of	Impact and Quality and efficiency of
			European technologies being part of the Al	implementation. In particular, as part of the
			supercomputer infrastructure?	latter criterion, candidates will need to describe
				the "capacity and expertise of the consortium"
70	30/12/2024	09/01/2025	In a previous response, it was mentioned that the Model	Please note that the model Hosting Agreements
			Hosting Agreement (HA) for Hosting Entities for Al-	(HA) for Hosting Entities for AI-optimised
			optimised supercomputers would be published as soon	supercomputers and Hosting Entities for AI
			as it became available. However, following the first cut-	upgrade of existing EuroHPC supercomputers
			off date of the AI-optimised supercomputers call, the	were published on the JU website on 7 January
			model has not yet been released. Could you kindly share	2025, together with other call documents (cf.
			the document or confirm its availability	CALL FOR EXPRESSION OF INTEREST to select
				Hosting Entities for acquiring or upgrading
				EuroHPC supercomputers with AI capabilities,
				an Advanced Experimental AI-Optimised S -
				EuroHPC JU).
71	30/12/2024	09/01/2025	We would also like to confirm whether private	Please note that as indicated in the call
			contributions can be considered as part of the	conditions (cf. Section 6 on eligibility criteria),
			mandatory co-funding required from the Member States	the applicants must provide the commitment of
			(MS). Specifically:	the Member State where the hosting entity is
			Can private contributions complement or	established and, in the case of a hosting
			substitute the financial commitments expected	Consortium, of the competent authorities of
			from the MS under the call's guidelines?	the Participating States of the hosting
				consortium to cover the share of the total cost

			 If private contributions are allowed, how should they be detailed in the proposal to ensure compliance with the funding rules? Are there specific sections or requirements to address this in the application form? 	of ownership of the new or the upgraded AI EuroHPC supercomputer, and the cost of the AI Factory that are not covered by the Union contribution. Thus, the commitment(s) from private partners cannot substitute nor replace the commitment(s) made by the competent national/regional authorities. The JU does not intervene in the internal distribution of the remaining parts of the Member State/Participating States' financial contribution, i.e., the competent authorities shall confirm that remaining financial contribution for a new AI-optimised supercomputer/AI upgrade and associated AI Factory shall be covered by the Member State/Participating State(s), however, in practical terms such contributions could be covered by different entities at national level. Please also note that the private partners could contribute with in-kind contributions. Regarding your question on the detailed description of the financial contribution of partners in consortium, there are no specific requirements for this. However, we encourage
				•
72	09	9/01/2025	Corrigendum Although it references a consortium, the Horizon Europe (HE) GA is marked as mono beneficiary, is there another version that we should consider in case of a consortium behind the AI Factory?	The reply below corrects the answer provided in Question 20 (and repeated in Questions 41, 50, 55 and 63) Please note that in the previous answers, it was incorrectly communicated that the AI Factory grant and grant for the experimental platform

				will be awarded as standard mono-beneficiary Horizon Europe grants. Contrary to this, please be informed that the grants will follow a standard <u>multi-beneficiary</u> Horizon Europe grant. The model Grant Agreement (Annex 4d – General Model Grant Agreement) was updated on the JU call website accordingly.
73	12/01/2025	23/01/2025	Country restrictions for eligible costs Are there any restrictions on including costs in the budget for activities that might be carried out by entities or providers located outside the Member State where the hosting entity is established? These entities would not be part of the consortium (since there would be none in this case) but could contribute to the project through activities such as subcontracting. We would like to clarify whether, if such a case arises, there are specific restrictions for activities performed by entities from third countries such as Switzerland, the United States, Japan, or others. If so, what requirements must be met for these costs to be considered eligible and approved within the framework of the project?	Please note that the eligibility of the costs for AI Factory grant and the grant for the Experimental Platform follows standard Horizon Europe rules on cost eligibility. For this reason, subcontracting is allowed and the subcontracting costs can be incurred if they are in line with Article 6.2.B of the Grant Agreement (cf. Annex 4d available under the link: <u>CALL for the selection of existing Hosting Entities of EuroHPC systems to acquire an Experimental AI-optimised Supercomputing Platform and establishment of AI Factories - <u>EuroHPC JU</u>). There are no country eligibility restriction on subcontracting, however, as a general rule only limited parts of action may be subcontracted (i.e., subcontracting shall remain proportionate in terms of share and type of actions, as well as in terms of share of eligible costs). The acceptable limit will be assessed by the Granting Authority based on a proposal. Please also note that alternatively to subcontracting, certain costs by the third parties could be accounted as third parties giving in-kind contribution to the action in</u>

74	12/01/2025	23/01/2025	Advanced Experimental AI-Optimised Supercomputing PlatformIn line with the AI factory services, which can be delivered in a distributed manner through collaborations or subcontracting, is it possible for the development of the Advanced Experimental AI-Optimised Supercomputing Platform to also be carried out in a distributed manner?This would mean involving collaboration or subcontracting with entities external to the hosting entity (without implying any modification to the	accordance with the standard Horizon Europe rules. Please note that as indicated in Q73, the eligibility of the costs for the Experimental Platform grant follows the standard Horizon Europe rules on cost eligibility (Cf. Article 6.2.B of the GA). Thus, the subcontracting is allowed, however, it shall be limited as indicated in Q73.
75	15/01/2025	23/01/2025	 composition of the consortium or the hosting entity). Is commercial access to the HPC infrastructure possible for the JU funded part? Is commercial access to services of the AI Factory possible for the national funded part? Which entity issues the invoice for commercial access? Is it the hosting entity or the hosting site or JU? which legal entity keeps the revenue and what can this money be used for 	Please note that in accordance with the Council Regulation 2024/1732 of 17 June 2024, up to 20% of the Union's access time may be allocated to commercial services. In such cases, the level of fees will be established by the JU Governing Board. The fees generated by the commercial use of the Union's share of access time shall constitute revenue to the Joint Undertaking's budget and shall be used to cover operational costs of the Joint Undertaking. For the Union's access time for commercial purposes, the Joint Undertaking will provide guidance to the hosting entity on how to collect the fees from the users based on market prices, and how to transfer it to the EuroHPC JU (cf. Article II.1.6(i) of the model Hosting Agreement). For the national funded part, the Hosting Entity is responsible to set up the arrangements on

				their own, including the decision on the
				commercial access to services.
76	15/01/2025	23/01/2025	Energy costs are difficult to predict; can project funds	Please note that the Union's contribution for
/0	15/01/2025	23/01/2023		operating costs, including the energy costs, will
			intended for operations (e.g. energy costs) be used for	be covered by the Grant Agreement for
			additional investments during the course of the project?	operating costs. The Grant Agreement for
				operating costs. The Grant Agreement for operating costs will follow the unit cost
				methodology structured around the following
				cost categories: hosting site preparation;
				personnel costs; maintenance and operation of
				the supercomputer. The Hosting Entities will be
				asked to provide the calculation of operating
				costs structured around the eligible categories
				and be entitled to reimbursement of up to 50%
				of the eligible costs. In duly justified cases, in
				particular when there are significant variations
				in the costs for providing access, it can be
				updated with the agreement of the EuroHPC
				Joint Undertaking and in accordance with the
				unit cost method through an amendment.
				However, please note that the total Union's
				contribution for the operating costs cannot
				exceed 50% of total operating costs <u>and</u> cannot be higher than the Union's contribution ceiling
				с С
				approved by the Governing Board in the decision selecting the Hosting Entity based on
				the application submitted, and that no new cost
				categories can be invented. Thus, we encourage all applicants to detail the budget for operating
				costs as diligently as possible as to avoid any
				further adjustments if not necessary.
77	15/01/2025	23/01/2025	Is subcontracting permitted, and if so, what portion of	Please note that subcontracting is allowed, and
//	13/01/2023	23/01/2023		-
			the budget can be allocated to it? For example, we	the subcontracting costs are eligible if they fulfil

			require specialized expertise that cannot be hired internally (e.g., short-term, highly specialized skill sets), and we need to outsource these services to ensure the Factory's success.	the criteria provided for in Articles 6.1 and 6.2.B of the model Grant Agreement. There is no overall budget ceiling for subcontracting. However, please note that only a proportionate part of the action may be subcontracted (i.e., subcontracting shall remain proportional in terms of share and tasks subcontracted, as well as in terms of share in the eligible costs). Such limits are assessed by the granting authority
				based on the proposal.
78	15/01/2025	23/01/2025	Are commercial engagements with partners (e.g., selling HPC capacity to large industry, leasing office space) allowed or encouraged, and how do they impact the grant agreement?	Please note that for the AI Factory grant and Al- Optimised Experimental Platform the non- profit rule applies, meaning that the grant must not produce a profit. If there is a profit, it will be deducted in proportion to the final rate of reimbursement of the eligible costs approved by the granting authority in accordance with Article 22.3.3 of the model Grant Agreement.
79	15/01/2025	23/01/2025	Do we need to include a post-project outlook beyond the three-year term—for instance, whether there will be a Phase 2 or any follow-up plans after the project ends?	This is not necessary but useful to have.
80	15/01/2025	23/01/2025	Is there a limit of Affiliated Entities in the consortium? Is there a limit of Partners in the consortium? Is there a limit of Affiliated Entities plus Partners in the consortium?	There are no such limits. Please note that the grants for AI Factories and AI-Optimised Experimental Platform will be awarded on the basis of Article 198(f) of the Financial Regulation, thus, the composition of consortium in the grant agreements shall reflect the composition of consortium applying for the EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02, i.e., after the selection of the Hosting consortia no new

81	15/01/2025	23/01/2025	We want to be flexible by allocating budget to Affiliated Entities (AE) and allocate budget on a use-case basis. Is it possible to have AEs with zero or very low budget?	 partners/affiliated entities can be added to the consortia in grant agreements. Please note that the JU does not intervene in the allocation of financial contributions between the consortia partners. However, the tasks allocated to partners/affiliated entities in consortia shall be clearly described in the application.
82	16/01/2025	23/01/2025	 We are writing to request clarification regarding the documentation required to comply with the criteria established in the Declaration of Honour, particularly concerning VI – Evidence on Exclusion Criteria and III – Evidence on Selection Criteria, as outlined in Annex 1a of the Call. A. VI – Evidence on Exclusion Criteria: For points (1) (a), (c), (d), (f), (g), and (h), is it sufficient to submit an electronic certificate of criminal records for legal persons? Specifically for point (1) (a) (bankruptcy, insolvency, etc.), would additional documentation be required to confirm compliance? For points (1) (a) and (b), are the following documents sufficient: Certificate of tax compliance issued by the competent authorities, covering VAT, Company Tax, Certificate of compliance with social security contributions issued by the competent authorities 	 With reference to your questions, please be informed that: A. VI – Evidence on Exclusion Criteria a. For points (1) (a), (c), (d), (f), (g), and (h): Yes, it is generally sufficient to submit an electronic certificate of criminal records for legal persons to cover the exclusion criteria in these points. However, please ensure that the certificate is up-to-date and issued by the relevant authorities. If not possible to submit an equivalent document recently issued by a judicial of administrative authority in the country of establishment of the person showing that those requirements are satisfied. b. For points (a) and (b), the certificates proposed should be sufficient; it is pivotal that the certificates provided are recent and issued by the competent authorities of the country of establishment. If not

			B. III – Evidence on Selection Criteria:	issued by the country of establishment,
			Could you confirm if no additional	they may be replaced by a sworn
			documentation is required under III – Evidence on	statement made before a judicial authority
			Selection Criteria for this specific call?	or notary or, failing that, a solemn
				statement made before an administrative
			We would greatly appreciate your confirmation on	authority or a qualified professional body
			whether the proposed list of documents is adequate or	in its country of establishment.
			if additional documents are necessary for either section	
			VI or section III.	B. III – Evidence on Selection Criteria:
				No additional documentation is required under
				Section III – Evidence on Selection Criteria of the
				Declaration on Honour for this specific call,
				provided that all information requested in the
				Call is included and clearly documented.
83	20/01/2025	23/01/2025	How should we include Affiliated Entities? They are not	Please include the affiliated entities under the
	-, -,		foreseen in any of the provided templates.	section dedicated for applicants by creating an
				additional table. It must be clearly indicated to
				which partner an affiliated entity is linked.
84	20/01/2025	23/01/2025	Which documents should the Affiliated Entities provide	Please note that all consortium members,
			at the time of proposal submission?	including the affiliated entities, are requested
				to provide documents requested under Section
				6. Eligibility criteria, including the DoH and
				supporting documents; documents referring to
				the legal status of such entities; mandate for the
				consortium leader, and if contributing
				financially, the commitment letter from the
				participating state(s) where the affiliated entities are established.
85	21/01/2025	23/01/2025	Can the Member State, within the framework of its co-	The question is not clear, can you please precise
05	21/01/2023	23/01/2023	financing commitments, fund certain cost items that are	the question and explain further what is meant
			•	by other funding sources?
1	1	1	not eligible under the Digital Europe Programme but are	

86	21/01/2025	23/01/2025	aligned with the objectives of the call and eligible under the given funding source? For example, could the construction of a data centre building, which is ineligible for EuroHPC funding (where only site preparation and adaptation costs are eligible), be included as part of the Member State's co-financing contribution? Could such expenses, eligible under other funding sources, be considered as in-kind contributions for the purposes of the Total Cost of Ownership (TCO)? If so, how should they be reflected in the proposal?	requirement relates to eligible costs. The construction of the data centre is not an eligible cost, (please see Annex 4c - Unit Digital Europe Programme (DEP) Grant Agreement). However, please also note that certain site preparation and adaptation cost of the hosting site incurred by the hosting entity that can be directly accounted to the AI supercomputer may be considered eligible, please see section 12.3 of the Call and Annex 2a of Annex 4c - Unit Digital Europe Programme (DEP) Grant Agreement). Could you please precise the question.
87	21/01/2025	23/01/2025	Within the scope of the Advanced Experimental Al- optimised Supercomputing Platform, would efforts exploring quantum computing technologies for enhancing AI capabilities (i.e., Quantum Machine Learning) align with the objective to develop exploratory supercomputing infrastructure for testing and co- designing European technologies? Would such efforts be considered eligible under the call?	Quantum Computing capabilities with traditional AI supercomputing resources is an acceptable concept. Notice that the Experimental Platform need to be submitted as part of an overall AI Factory proposal and not as a stand-alone action.

88	21/01/2025	23/01/2025	Could you provide an estimated timeframe for responding to the inquiry? We would like to confirm whether the final deadline for submitting questions is next Friday, the 24th.	Please be informed that we will provide a through response to all the queries in a timely manner. We confirm that the deadline for submitting question is next Friday, 24 January.
89	22/01/2025	23/01/2025	As mentioned in previous replies, the grant for AI Factory services will be a standard Horizon Europe grant. Can the applicants make use of the financial support for third parties under this call?	Please note that the financial support for third parties (art. 9.4 of the model Grant Agreement) is not allowed under this call.
90	15/01/2025	27/01/2025	 Is renting of Office Space including furniture for the AI-Hub eligible for funding? Are rental costs eligible for 25% overheads? Are finder's fee for the foreseen office space of the AI-Hub eligible? Are operational costs for the AI-Hub like cleaning, toilet paper, internet, energy and similar eligible? Under which cost category should the office space be allocated? Are adaption costs of the AI-Hub's office space eligible? 	 The PO should judge / evaluate if the office space renting and the furniture are linked and used only for the purpose of the action to be implemented. If this is the case this expenditure should be indicated under category C (Equipment - infrastructure rent) In order to be eligible, the office space should be fully dedicated to the project and must be identifiable in the accounts. Under the HE programme, all direct costs under the categories A-D, expect sub- contracting are eligible for the indirect costs. In our opinion, this position should be covered by the indirect costs. This position should be covered by overheads. Please see above (question1).

				6) This position should be covered by overheads.
91	15/01/2025	27/01/2025	Do we need three offers for the AI-Hub facilities (already at time of Proposal submission)?	Not necessarily at the time of the proposal, but the 3 offers might be requested at the time of payment or in case of audit.
92	16/01/2025	27/01/2025	 We are writing to request clarification regarding the eligibility of non-recoverable VAT as an eligible cost under the Horizon Europe calls, as established in the Model Grant Agreement. In the case of the Digital Europe Programme, it is specified that VAT should not be included in the TCO. However, in the case of Horizon Europe, the Model Grant Agreement states that subcontracting and purchase costs for the action, including non-deductible or non-refundable taxes, duties, and charges, may be considered eligible provided they meet the general eligibility conditions and are incurred in accordance with the beneficiary's usual purchasing practices. On the other hand, it expressly excludes deductible or refundable VAT when it concerns public entities acting as public authorities. For a public entity that engages in both taxable and VAT-exempt activities, the pro-rata rule may apply. As a 	Some entities have a mixed VAT status. They are subject to VAT for certain activities and not subject to it for others. The fields of activities, subject to VAT or not, are usually defined when registering the entity by the tax authority. An official document from the tax authority, which allows us to clearly identify the field and their status (subject to VAT or not), should be provided with the proposal. To avoid any misunderstanding or unpleasant consequences we recommend that at the time of the payment, that VAT should be clearly indicated.
			result, only a portion of the VAT incurred is deductible,	

			 while the remainder is non-recoverable. This non-recoverable percentage is calculated and reported annually in the tax return model. In this context, we would like to confirm the following: Can the non-recoverable VAT, calculated according to the aforementioned pro-rata rule, be considered an eligible cost under Horizon Europe? If so, what documentation would be required to justify this cost? We thank you in advance for your guidance on this matter and remain at your disposal to provide any additional information you may require. 	
93	23/01/2025	27/01/2025	Concerning the EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02 CALL FOR EXPRESSION OF INTEREST, if in the proposed project a newly acquired AI-optimised supercomputer is planned, it will be owned by the EuroHPC JU?	Yes, in accordance with the JU Regulation of 2024 (Cf. <u>EUR-Lex - 02021R1173-20240709 - EN</u> - <u>EUR-Lex</u>), the JU shall acquire AI-optimised supercomputers and shall own them (Art. 12a(1) thereof). At the earliest five years after the successful acceptance test by the AI-optimised supercomputer installed in a hosting entity, the JU Governing Board may decide whether the ownership of the AI-optimised super-computer will transferred to that hosting entity or the AI-optimised supercomputer may be sold to another entity or decommissioned.
94	23/01/2025	27/01/2025	Concerning the EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02 CALL FOR EXPRESSION OF INTEREST, If there will be fee- based services for big industry by the AI Factory, does the	Please note that such fees will be analysed in accordance with the EU state aid rules and the Hosting Entities will be informed by the Commission about the guidelines Please see

			hosting university (our university) participate in the income and how? Are there any regulations in subject matter as stated above? "The European Commission will provide guidelines in due time on this matter"	section 1, p. 36 of the concept paper annexed to the Call (Annex 3: "AI Factories" Concept Paper).
95	24/01/2025	27/01/2025	Regarding Minerva project, can applicants incorporate their local Minerva action as part of their AI Factory activities, e.g. if there are a total of 4 FTE involved on Minerva, 2 FTE be declared as contribution on the AIF and not ask of course to receive back a contribution from EuroHPC since they are already funded by Minerva.	Please be informed that Minerva and the AI Factories are separate grants which need to be kept separate and for which it has to be ensured that there is no double funding.
96	28/01/2025	29/01/2025	 With respect to the Declaration of Honours of each partner: Do we need to attach any proofs to these forms? What does "tender" on page 7 refer to? With respect to the Mandate letters (if applicable; see template in Annex 1b): The call says that the existing Hosting Entities do not get a new hosting agreement for AI Factory only? If this is not correct, we have to give a "Title & No" as part of the form. However, as said, the FAQ says that there won't be a new hosting agreement. With respect to Commitment letters (5th checklist item): Do we understand it correctly that we need only one commitment letter by a competent authority for the contribution of all entities from the same country? 	 Please see the answers to your questions below 1. As set out in section 7.4 of the Call text and in Annex 1, section 3.4. of the Call "Checklist for applicants", the Declarations on honour are sufficient and no evidence is needed at this stage. Regarding the reference to procurements, please be aware that the Declaration on honour is a template used for different selection procedures, please ignore the reference to procurement procedures. 2. Please be informed that there will be no new Hosting Agreement in case of an application for the selection of an existing Hosting Entity of a EuroHPC supercomputers to acquire an advanced Experimental Al-optimised Supercomputing Platform (optional) and

				 to establish an AI Factory. Your assumption is correct. 3. The commitment letter (Annex 1c of the Call) refers to country of the hosting entity or country of each consortium member. The commitment letter needs to confirm the contribution for the AI factory, and if applicable, the advanced experimental AI optimised platform (please see last sentence of the commitment letter. Your assumption is correct, one commitment letter for one Participating State's contribution is sufficient.
97	29/01/2025	29/01/2025	How the hosting entity participates in the fees from the users based on market prices (in its own access time, not Union's access)? How big is the Union's access time. All we know from the "Hosting Agreement - Al Supercomputer": "The operating costs of the EuroHPC AI-optimised supercomputer to be covered by Union contribution will be reimbursed through a grant agreement to be signed in that regard ("Grant Agreement for operating costs of the AI-optimised supercomputer"). The Grant Agreement for operating costs of the AI-optimised supercomputer will also define the rules and conditions for the operation of the supercomputer." "In addition, a grant agreement will be signed between the EuroHPC JU and the Hosting Entity to cover the funding for the development of the AI Factory around the	 Please note that we need to distinguish and clarify certain aspects you raised in your question. Our previous reply was concerning the part on AI Factories services, and it was related to the state aid rules. For this question, we are not able to provide you more information than already provided. The guidelines will be provided by the European Commission. Below, you are referring to the access time to the AI-optimised supercomputer. The access to the EuroHPC supercomputer is defined in the JU Regulation (Council Regulation 2024/1732 of 17 June 2024). In accordance with Article 17(1) thereof, the Union's access time to an AI-optimised EuroHPC supercomputer is directly proportional to the financial contribution of the Union to the total costs of ownership of such

	1			
			EuroHPC AI-optimised supercomputer and the AI Factory	supercomputer and shall not exceed 50% of the
			operation, as well as to establish the rules and conditions	total access time. The remaining access time is
			for providing services related to the AI Factory ("Grant	allocated to the Hosting Entity/partners in the
			Agreement for the AI Factory")."	Hosting consortium. The JU does not intervene in the allocation of the access time of the
				Hosting Entity/Hosting consortium. Regarding
			"i) In the situation where the Union's access time is	the Union's time, based on the decision of the
			allocated for commercial purposes in accordance with	JU's Governing Board, up to 20% of the Union's
			Article 18 of the JU Regulation and in line with the JU	access time may be allocated to commercial
			Access Policy, for the Union's access time, the Joint	access and the fees generated by the
			Undertaking will provide guidance to the hosting entity	commercial use will constitute revenue of the
			on how to collect the fees from the users based on	JU (cf. Article 18 of the Regulation. If such decision is made by the Governing Board, the JU
			market prices, and how to transfer it to the EuroHPC JU.	will inform the Hosting Entity and will provide
			Such fees generated from the commercial access will	guidelines on how to collect such fees in
			constitute revenue to the Joint Undertaking and shall be	accordance with cited-below Article of the
			used to cover operational costs of the Joint Undertaking.	Hosting Agreement.
			n	
			Theres no "guidance to the hosting entity on how to	Concerning your question on the Grant
			collect the fees" or "Grant Agreement for the AI Factory"	Agreement, please note that as indicated in the
			on the linked website.	call conditions, the AI Factory grant will be a
				standard Horizon Europe Grant Agreement. The model grant agreement is part of the call
			If you could please point out the concrete parts of the	documents available on our website (Cf. Annex
			documents and the documents itself, it would be	4d for the call EUROHPC-2024-CEI-AI-02). Also,
			possible to decide if the investment is feasible and cost-	for clarification, the Grant Agreement for the
			1.	operating costs of the Al-optimised
			effective for hosting entity.	supercomputer will be a standard DEP Grant
				Agreement (cf. Annex 4c for the call EUROHPC-
				2024-CEI-AI-02).
98	29/01/2025	29/01/2025	We are finalizing our proposal for the new EuroHPC AI	To meet the deadline for the second cut-off,
			Factory and approaching the deadline for the second cut-	you need to send your application (3 paper
			off.	copies + USB sticks), duly filled and signed, via

			According to the EuroHPC official submission procedure, the proposal must be submitted in three (3) copies (one original, clearly identified as such, plus two copies), along with an electronic copy on a USB stick, and signed by the authorized person. I would appreciate your clarification on whether we can submit an electronic copy via email or a repository to meet the 2nd cut-off deadline while simultaneously sending the paper copies by post, with the postmark date serving as proof of timely submission.	post/courier services before the deadline, or deliver the application in person to the JU premises. Once you have a shipment receipt, you can send us an electronic copy as a proof. Simultaneously, upon request, we can provide you with a link to CIRCABC platform where you can submit an electronic version of the application. Please note that the electronic submission via CIRCABC does not replace the submission of the original application. Only when we receive an original application sent via post/courier services or delivered in person, we will consider your application valid.
99	28/02/2025	07/03/2025	 Is it known whether the fees, payments will be received by hosting unit or the state of the hosting unit in the case of "the remaining access time is allocated to the Hosting Entity"? Who will benefit from the access time is allocated to the Hosting Entity (mu university as a hosting unit or my state (for example, Ministry of Digitization)? II. If "Regarding the Union's time, based on the decision of the JU's Governing Board, up to 20% of the Union's access time may be allocated to commercial access and the fees generated by the commercial use will constitute revenue of the JU"? is the percentage for commercial use known for hosting unit? 	Ad I.) Please note that the remaining part of the access time, meaning the access time, which is not the Union's access time, is allocated to the Hosting Entity/Hosting consortium (national access time). In case of a hosting consortium, the members of the consortium shall agree internally on the proportion of national access time for consortium members. The JU does not intervene in the allocation of the national access time. Ad II.) Please note that the commercial access you are referring to concerns only the Union's access time. According to the EuroHPC JU's access policy, the Peer-Review Office, under the supervision of the Executive Director, is responsible for implementing the allocation of computing time on behalf of the Governing Board. The Executive Director will instruct the

III. Could the fees be charged only to big industry or also participating hosting entities about the
to startups and SMEs? Is big industry defined somewhere implementation of the projects.
in the documents? Ad III.) Please note that for the Al Factor
services, they shall be allocated to the SMEs and
start-ups free of charge. The allocation o
services for the big industry shall be covered by
the state aid rules and shall follow the state aid
rules.